

**DELAYS IN DISCHARGE PROCESS AT GULF MEDICAL
CENTRE HOSPITAL AND RESEARCH CENTRE, AJMAN,
UAE**

**Internship Training
at
Gulf Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Thumaby Group of
Hospitals, Ajman, UAE**

**By
Nidhi Sharma**

**Under the guidance of
Dr. Arindam Das**

**MBA Hospital & Health Management
2013–15**


**Institute of Health Management Research
Jaipur
2015**

Internship Training

At

**Gulf Medical College Hospital and Research Center, Thumbay Group
of Hospitals, Ajman, UAE**

**Delays in Discharge Process at Gulf Medical Centre Hospital and Research Centre,
Ajman, UAE**

By

Name Dr. Nidhi Sharma

Under the guidance of

Arindam Das

(Associate Dean Research)

MBA Hospital and Health Management

2013-2015



Institute of Health Management Research

Jaipur

TO WHOMSOEVER MAY CONCERN

This is to certify that Dr. Nidhi Sharma, a student of MBA Hospital and Health Management from IIHMR University, Jaipur has undergone internship training at "Gulf Medical College Hospital and Research Center, Thumbay Group of Hospitals, Ajman, UAE from 3rd April to 15th May 2015.

The Candidate has successfully carried out the study designated to her during internship training and her approach to the study has been sincere, scientific and analytical.

The Dissertation is in fulfillment of the course requirements.

I wish her all success in all his future endeavors.



Col.(Dr) Kaushik

Dean, Academics and Student Affairs

IIHMR University, Jaipur



Mr. Arindam Das

Associate Dean Research

IIHMR University, Jaipur



مستشفى جي إم سي
GMC HOSPITAL
"Healing through knowledge and wisdom"

مطب
THUMBAY

May 14, 2015

To Whom It May Concern

This is to certify that **Dr. Nidhi Sharma** holder of Indian Passport Number Z3061016 was working in our institution as Management Trainee from 4th April 2015 till 14th May 2015, as a part of dissertation of her P.G.D.H.M program .She has completed the assigned project.

We wish her all the best.

For **GMC Hospital and Research Centre, Ajman**




THUMBAY MOIDEEN
President

CERTIFICATE BY SCHOLAR

This is to certify that the dissertation titled ***“Delays in Discharge Process at GMCHRC, Ajman, UAE”*** submitted by Dr. Nidhi Sharma Enrollment No. 1470 under the supervision of Mr. Arindam Das (Associate Dean, Research) for award of MBA Hospital and Health Management/ MBA Pharmaceutical Management of the university carried out during the period from 3rd April to 15th May, 2015. Embodies my original work and has not formed the basis for the award of any degree, diploma associate ship, fellowship, titles in this or any other Institute or other similar institution of higher learning.

Signature

M. Arindam Das
18th May 2015

Abstract

This dissertation enumerates and describes the key reasons for the delay in discharge process of In-patient, at GMCHRC Ajman. Finally proposing the recommendations to be implemented which shall help in reducing the discharge time. The Discharge Process at GMCHRC, Ajman, UAE, was one of the main grounds, which lead to the significant decrease in patient satisfaction and increase in Average Length of Stay of In-patients, which is not beneficial to the Hospital. Thus, an Observational Analytical study was conducted over a period of 18 days, the primary data collected gave a sample size- $n = 650$ (In-patients). Starting with the Discharge Process Mapping, two flowcharts were prepared, one Self-Payments and second for Insurance patients. Discharge Tracking Tool was designed and the nurses, Patient Affairs Department and concerned Pharmacy staff collected the data on a daily basis. Prior to data collection, the nursing staff in IPD was trained on the usage of the tool. After 18 days when a significant number of data was collected, data collection was stopped. An evidence based extensive analysis gave valid and crucial results. The two variables taken for data analysis were Time and Number of cases. Analysis was done keeping the confidentiality aspect and ethical considerations in purview with respect to the patient details. An overall analysis was done and further, the analysis was narrowed down by analyzing data between various information exchange points. With the help of evidence-based analysis, results gave the actual gaps/reasons for the discharge delay. Results of the study were found out to be; prolonged discharge time, for Self-Payment patients- 5 hours 18 minutes and for Insurance Patients- 6 hours 3 minutes. Maximum time delay was found out to be in Signature and Approval by physicians, in medication delivery of discharge drugs to the nursing station. The implications of combined delay results in loss in terms of Revenue and Time.

Acknowledgements

It gives me an immense pleasure to show my gratitude to great many people who helped me and supported me during my dissertation at Gulf Medical College Hospital and Research Center.

My deepest thanks to Dr. Prashanth Hegde, Dr. G.S. Monga and Dr. Pawan Kumar Gupta for their consistent and expert guidance throughout my dissertation. I express my sincere thanks to entire Quality Assurance team of Gulf Medical College Hospital and Research Center and my co-management trainees for their timely assistance and guidance.

Dr. Nidhi Sharma

Table of Contents

S. No.	Title	Page Number
1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Aim	2
1.2	Objective- General	2
1.2.1	Objective - Specific	2
2.	Literature Review	2
3.	Methodology	6
3.1	Type of Study	6
3.2	Location of Study	6
3.3	Duration of Study	6
3.4	Sample Size	6
3.5	Data Collection Tools	7
3.6	Method of Collection	7
3.7	Methods employed for Collection and Analysis	7
4.	Process Mapping	9
5.	Analysis and Results	14
6.	Conclusion	25
7.	Recommendations	26
8.	Appendices	28
9.	Bibliography	30

List of Figures

S. No.	TITLE	Page Number
1.	Figure 1 Discharge Process Mapping Self Payment Patients	9
2.	Figure 2 Discharge Process Mapping Insurance Patients	11

List of Tables

S. No.	TITLE	Page Number
1.	Table 1- Discharge Patient-Tracking Sheet	28
2.	Table 2- Average no. of patients Admission Vs. Discharge	28
3.	Table 3- Admission Vs. Discharge Patients (Percentage)	29

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

S. No.	Symbol/Abbreviation	Full Form
1	DHC	Discharge Hospitality Centre
2	EMR	Electronic Medical Records
3	ED	Emergency Department
4	GP	General Practitioner
5	GMCHRC	Gulf Medical College Hospital and Research Center
6	HIMS	Hospital Information Management System
7	IPD	In Patient Department
8	MO	Medical Orderly
9	OPD	Outpatient Department
10	OBG	Obstetrics & Gynecology
11	PACU	Pediatric Acute Care Unit
12	PAD	Patient Affair Department
13	UCL	Upper Control Limit
14	DPTS	Discharge Patient Tracking Sheet

Appendix

S. No.	Title	Page No.
1.	Table 1- Discharge Patient-Tracking Sheet	28
2.	Table 2- Average no. of Admission and Discharge	28
3.	Table 3- Number of Admissions and Discharge in Percentage	29

1. Introduction

Nagaraju (2005) defines the patient discharge process as ‘the final step of the treatment procedure during a patient’s length of stay’, and timely discharge as ‘when the patient is discharged home or transferred to an appropriate level of care as soon as they are clinically stable and fit for discharge’. The discharge process represents the final contact between the patient and the hospital health professionals, and the outcomes of all procedures undergone by the patient are recorded at this stage. Improving the quality of the discharge process should therefore lead to an increase in patient satisfaction. As a result patients are likely to return to a Health Centre where they have experienced an efficient discharge process when they next seek treatment. In turn, efficiency and productivity are increased at the hospital (Gholipor & Ghomry 2003).⁽¹¹⁾

The discharge process is a critical bottleneck for efficient patient flow. In fact, the discharge process is ranked as the biggest factor impacting wait times for in-patient beds. Currently due to the delay in discharge process the divert rate of the emergency department (including OBG emergency) and Recovery unit is disrupted as patients stack up in these areas due to non-availability of beds in the wards and eventually the hospital has to turn away new patients. Discharge delays has led to the increased length of stay and consequent low hospital bed turnover rate which in turn has led to increased organizational costs. Also the hospital was receiving complaints from the patients for slow and inefficient discharge process in the Hospital.

Hence, the study of reasons of delay in discharge process of patients at GMCHRC, Ajman, UAE becomes critical.

1.1 Aim: To determine the delay gaps and feasible practices at GMCHRC Ajman, UAE
in order to reduce variability in discharge cycle time.

1.2 General Objective

To identify the reasons for delay in discharge at GMCHRC AJMAN, UAE by
process mapping of the whole discharge process, using appropriate tools.

1.2.1. Specific Objectives

1. To determine average time taken for the entire discharge process, self-payment patients & insurance patients.
2. To find out the delay points in discharge process.
3. To provide appropriate recommendations/ suggestions for improving the discharge process.

2. Literature Review

Policies for reducing delayed discharge from hospital [Karen Bryan^{*\(1\)}](#)

This study states that a number of cross-institutional complexities contribute to delayed discharges. Policy measures have contributed positively to reducing delayed discharges. Investment in intermediate care services has provided a range of services to promote maximum independence for older people after acute hospital admission. Joint working between health and social services is necessary to prevent delayed discharges.

Delay in discharge and its impact on unnecessary hospital bed occupancy Muhammad
Umair Majeed(2)

The study states that elderly patients experiencing acute surgical admission and discharge to community hospitals had prolonged LOS due to significant delays associated with care of the elderly provision. The financial considerations behind bed capacity in primary and secondary care and the provision of care of elderly services need to be balanced against unnecessary occupancy of acute hospital beds with its associated health and economic implications.

The Hospital Discharge: A Review of a High Risk Care Transition With Highlights of a Reengineered Discharge Process Jeffrey L. Greenwald, MD,(3)

The study describes that by using multimethod analysis, the group described the principles thought to be important to the discharge process and delineated what they call the reengineered discharge, ie a set of 11 discrete and mutually reinforcing components that they believe should be consistently part of every hospital discharge.

Opportunities for Informatics to Improve Discharge Planning: A Systematic Review of the Literature ([Rhonda Renee Archie](#), MBA, MT (4)

It shows the evaluation report that the association of information sharing among patients, caregivers, and health care providers and the impact on the discharge process. The authors identified reports of the discharge planning process through systematic electronic database searches. The eligibility criteria were 1) usual discharge planning process, and 2) patient, caregiver, or provider perception or feedback. Of the eligible articles, all voiced concern about a broken discharge planning process that affected the information exchanged among all involved in patient care. Outcomes related to satisfaction, knowledge transfer, and communication was identified. The initial evidence suggests

information sharing through interdisciplinary patient care can play a significant role in the future.

A Tool for Improving Patient Discharge Process and Hospital Communication Practices: the Patient Tracker ([Christopher G. Maloney](#), MD PhD,¹ [Douglas Wolfe](#), MBA,² [Per H. Gesteland](#), MD MS,¹ [Joe W. Hales](#), (5)

They developed and implemented a web-based software application called “Patient Tracker” to manage the discharge process, minimize delays in admission and reduce surgical procedure cancellations. They also tested the effectiveness of the software on the workflow by comparing outcomes between the pre-implementation control group (2002–2003) and the post-implementation experimental group (2003–2006). During the same period, the average number of inpatient admissions increased (5725 vs. 6120), and the median emergency department LOS decreased (247 vs. 232, $p < 0.01$).

Improving the Discharge Process by Embedding a Discharge Facilitator in a Resident Team (Kathleen M. Finn, MD, MPhil^{1*}, Rebecca Heffner, MD²) (*Journal of Hospital Medicine* 2011)(6) They assessed whether embedding a nurse practitioner on a medical team to help physicians with the discharge process would improve communication, patient follow-up, and hospital reutilization & result came out to be that patients had more discharge summaries completed within 24 hours (67% vs 47%, $P < 0.001$). Similarly, they had more follow-up appointments scheduled by the time of discharge (62% vs 36%, $P < 0.0001$) and attended those appointments more often within 2 weeks.

A Multidisciplinary Care Pathway Significantly Increases the Number of Early Morning Discharges in a Large Academic Medical Center (Durvasula, Raghu MD, MHA) (7)

This study demonstrates that a multidisciplinary approach using prescribed order entry and medication reconciliation is a low cost, safe, and effective way to increase early morning discharges and improve patient flow for large hospitals with high volumes of scheduled patient admissions. It involved moving rate-limiting steps to earlier in the discharge process, specifically medication reconciliation to the night before discharge and “discharge to home” order entry before 9:00 AM the morning of discharge.

Real-Time Demand/Capacity Management to Improve Flow (8)

Communicate the discharge schedule with hospital disciplines through the use of white board on the unit, an extranet, or a dedicated phone line. Hold daily (or more frequent) bed huddles to coordinate planning across units/departments for linking admissions and transfers to planned discharges out of the hospital. Use a consistent method to communicate bed availability and distribute beds throughout the day, such as a designated person ("bed czar"), a manual bed board, or an electronic or web-based tool.

A reimagined discharge lounge as a way to an efficient discharge process (BMJ Quality Improvement Programme)(9)

In this study they proposed a new project, called the “Discharge Hospitality Center (DHC).” This multidisciplinary group made the final decision about eligibility for the DHC, and took responsibility for distributing the list of eligible patients to the acute care nursing floors immediately after their early morning meeting. Using the list of patients appropriate for the DHC, the acute floor nursing teams developed standard work for

prioritization of DHC eligible patients for discharge, which more reliably allowed those patients to leave their inpatient beds earlier in the day. We found there was no need for dedicated staff at our DHC, as after discharge all outpatient procedures and policies applied.

Improving Inpatient Discharge Process To Reduce Readmission Vanda Ametli;(10)

Implemented a 24-hour continuous bed rest evaluation to evaluate patient's action to reduce delays caused by therapist consultations. To provide an excellent patient care experience and minimize readmission reimbursement costs; a hospital needs to evaluate their current discharge process, role of process stakeholders and current EMR system electronic submissions. While, not every readmission can be avoided, there is opportunity in decreasing preventable patients and adverse events.

3. Methodology

3.1 Type of study: Observational, Analytical Study involving the review of patient discharges. The data was recorded from the Hospital Information Management System to analyze the discharge process.

3.2 Location of study: In two wings of the Hospital – Left Wing and Right Wing.

3.3 Duration of study: period of 18 days (12.04.2015- 29.04.2015)

3.4 Sample Size, (n= 650) A total of 1013 patients were discharged from the hospital from 12th April 2015 to 29th April 2015. A sample of **650** was selected from the total sample, out of which 461 were self-payment and 189 were insurance patients. Data was collected from the Patient records and from HIMS to identify

the time taken from the time the physician on rounds said that the patient can be discharged till time the patient physically left the room.

3.5 Data collection tools: HIMS of GMCHRC and Patient Record Files. Interviews with the involved staff in the Process Mapping. (*Refer Page 28, Table 1 Discharge Process Tracking Sheet*)

3.6 Method of Collection: Real time monitoring and personal observation.

3.6.1. Data (information) to be collected include:

- Current discharge process
- Speciality/ Departments
- Elective / Emergency (Booked / Un-Booked in case of OBG Cases)
- Insurance/ Self Payment Cases
- Duration of rendered service at different services
- Time of arrival at and departure from stations
- About causes of delay in discharge process
- Length of stay in GMC hospital.
- Viewpoints and attitudes of hospital personnel

3.7 Methods Employed

3.7.1 A Checklist (DPTS) was prepared for the data collection; this checklist was given to Nursing, PAD, Pharmacy and Housekeeping to collect the data at their respective stations. (*Refer Page 28, Table 1*)


3.7.2 Data was collected from the Patient Records and Hospital Information Management System to identify the time taken from the time, the physician on rounds gave the discharge orders, till time the patient physically left the room.

3.8.3 Discharge time was calculated for each inpatient and charted on the control charts to portray those cases, which are beyond the control limits.

4. Process Mapping

Process Mapping

Figure 1. Current Discharge Process for 'Self-Payment' Patients









Figure 2. Current Discharge Process for Insurance Patients








5. Analysis and Results

Results are commonly presented in the form of text, figures and tables, complete with data analysis. Analysis- Control Charts and Line Graph Charts are used for Data Analysis.

5.1 Average Discharge Time Specialty Wise




Interpretation (Graph 1)

1. Graph 1 shows that the maximum number of cases discharged in the stipulated time were from two specialties-
 - a. Pediatrics (471)
 - b. Gynecology (374)

Hence, in order to reduce the average discharge time, the initial focus for improvement should be on Pediatric and Gynecology in-patients

5.1 Average discharge time as per the payment method.

5.1.1 Self Payment Patients- 461




Interpretation (Graph 2)

1. The average time taken for discharge of Self Payment patients came out to be 5 hours 18 minutes, with maximum time recorded as 20 hours and 25 minutes (**Point A**). The control chart shows that the discharge process for Self Payment cases is **neither stable nor in control**, as there is a lot of variation around and above the red line i.e. for Mean.
2. This graph shows that the majority of cases lie above the average line which shows that it takes more time than 5 hours, 18 minutes. Such prolonged delays lead to further increase in waiting time for admission.
3. During the study period 23 self-payment cases were found out to go beyond the Upper Control Limit (Green Line in Graph 2) i.e. 663.75 minutes. Thus, there is a great probability that in the period of one year (**365 days**) on an average as many as

466 cases will take more than **11 hours 6 minutes** (663.75 minutes) which is not at all beneficial to the hospital.

4. The cases, which took more than 5 hours 16 minutes, were 167 (Ref graph 3), from which it can be concluded that for **365 days** approximately there will be **3345** Self-Payment patients whose discharge will take more than 5 hours 18 minutes, which in turn in the terms of **Revenue and Time** will be a big loss to the hospital.


5.2.2.1



Interpretation (Graph 2.1)

1. Graph 2.1 shows that in more than ~63% of self-payment cases the discharge time was below 5 hours, 18 minutes.
2. Graph 2.1 also shows that in more than ~36% of Self Payment cases, discharge time was beyond 5 hours and 18 minutes.


5.1.2 Insurance patients- 189



Interpretation (Graph 4)

1. Average Discharge Time for Insurance in-patients came out to be 6 Hours 3 Minutes.
2. For the study period of 18 days for Insurance In-patients (189), discharge time for 10 patients was more than 720. 57 minutes (~12 hours) Upper Control Limit (Green Line). If this trend continues, for **365 days** there will be ~202 patients.
3. In the case of 79 Insurance Patients, the discharge time is found to be more than 6 hours 3 minutes (Average time), which comes out to be **1601** Insurance patients for **365 days**. These many patients for a year beyond Average time will be a loss to the hospital both in terms of Revenue and Time.

5.2.2.1.



Interpretation Graph 5

1. Graph shows that in more than ~41% of Insurance patients there was an issue of delayed discharge.

Reasons- 1. Prolonged delay, by the Medical Orderly in getting the medicines prescribed as discharge drugs from Pharmacy.


2. The Insurance companies took more time on their side in Insurance approval/rejection, resulting in discharge delay.

5.3. Admission Times Vs. Discharge Times

- Admission and discharge times for a week were plotted on the excel sheet. Entire day was categorized into 8 time intervals; admissions and discharges were segregated into these 8 time intervals.
- Average Number of admissions and discharges for 7 days were calculated and


plotted on the bar graphs.

5.3.1



Refer Appendix 2 Page No.-

5.3.2



Refer Appendix 3 Page No.-


Interpretation (Graph 7)

1. One striking observation came from comparing admission times to discharge times. The numbers of admissions are almost uniformly

distributed throughout (except between 3am-6am).

2. On the other hand the case is not the same for Discharges, as discharges are maximum between 12pm-3pm and 9pm-12am).
3. In other words there is demand for rooms even before rooms are generally being made available for the new admissions. During these times, rooms are full, backing up patient flow in PACU in the emergency department (ED) and sometimes patient leaves the facility without using the services.

5.4




Interpretation (Graph 8)

1. Discharge initiation time is calculated from the time physician gives the discharge orders to the time concerned nurse initiates the Discharge in the HIMS, intimating the PAD department to start the process on their end.
2. In case of Self Payment patients it is 2 hours 1 minute. During this time interval, doctor/s writes the discharge summary that may take more time if, the discharge summary was not prepared in advance, when nurses get occupied in other duties.

3. On few days in a week, discharges are more; with better planning in advance discharges can be made faster.
4. In case of Insurance Patients this initiation time is even more, i.e. 2 Hours 50 Minutes. In this case it is more, because the discharge medications are to be provided to the patient and to be added in the records before initiating in the HIMS. It is the duty of the on duty Medical orderly to get the medicines from the Pharmacy. There is only 1 Medical Orderly per shift each wing and with 80% IPD occupancy on an average, MO is preoccupied in various tasks, in addition to getting medicines from the Pharmacy. Hence, leading to increase in the Discharge Initiation time.
5. There is delay because sometimes the mother gets discharge orders but the baby of her didn't received the discharge orders so the mother opt to stay there in hospital & doesn't leave the room.

5.5




Interpretation (Graph 9)

- There is delay in PAD clearance, for self-Payment patients is 2hours 13minues and for Insurance patients is 2 hours 53 minutes.

Reasons:

1. The nurses are occupied and tend to forget to add the final bill of medications.
2. It is sometimes the insurance companies, which take longer time to give approval.
3. Once the initiation for the final bill is done, the delay takes place from the patients' side in payment of the outstanding amount, as they turn-up to PAD late for payments.

5.6




Interpretation (Graph 9)

Average time for a doctor to sign a discharge summary came out to be **2.38 (Hrs. Minutes)** and the Upper Control Limit is **10.39(hrs. Minutes)** from the time the discharge summary is typed till the time it was signed by the treating Physician (Consultant/General Practitioner).

Reasons:

1. Doctors' rounds (morning and evening) are the busiest for all the staff in IPD. The **Nurse is to Patient Ratio** at GMCHRC, Ajman is **1:8**, which gives us the picture of nursing staff shortage in IPD areas, because only the concerned nurse collects the typed discharge summary from the Medical Secretary and gets it signed from the GP/Consultant.
2. Many a times doctors are occupied in OPD consultations, hence leading to delay in signing the Discharge Summary, finally adding to the delay in the Discharge.

5.6.1



Interpretation (Graph 10)

1. The total number of cases taken for this analysis is 337 (after removing the data with discrepancies).
2. In the case of more than ~21% patients time to sign the discharge summary was **2.18 (Hours Minutes)** which is exceptionally high.

6. Conclusion

The major findings, which seek attention from the organisation, are that maximum patients are from the gynecology & pediatrics, so primarily improvement should be taken out in these areas.

It is found out that average time for discharge in self-payment patients is 5 hours 18 minutes and in case of insurance patients it is 6 hours 3 minutes. The other major reasons for delay are that discharge summaries are kept on hold for an average of 2 hours for the doctors' signature, stamp and also due to the delay delivery of prescribed medications. It is also observed that in a day (00:00 Hours-24:00 Hours) admissions follow a uniform trend but maximum discharges are carried in only two time intervals (12:00pm-15:00pm) and (21:00pm-00:00am) which leads to comparatively more workload on the staff involved during the above mentioned time intervals and long waiting time for patients which in turn results in more delay.

Current system is people oriented and now it should be system driven so that improvement can be seen. Hence, it is concluded, in order to reduce this discharge delay, various actions need to be taken.

7. Recommendations

Keeping into consideration the factors, which can be controlled in order to reduce the Discharge time, following suggestions and Recommendation can be given:

A. Discharge Scheduling System:

List of probable patients who will be discharged in next 12 Hours can be prepared one day/night prior to the discharge of patient.

B. E- Prescription System

- i. During the ward round or at the end of the ward round, doctors can be provided with iPads/tablets, in which they can make an e-Prescription which will notify the OPD Pharmacy to prepare the required medication and arrange to dispense to the ward through a medical orderly.
- ii. E-prescription will save a lot of time and this would enable nurse to initiate the discharge process well on time once she receives the discharge medications from the pharmacy.
- iii. Doctors can be provided with iPads/tablets. The issue of accountability for any Pilferage and damage can be monitored with the help of CCTV's present or can be set up in IPD areas.

C. Increasing the Medical Orderly Staff

- i. Two new Medical Orderly staff can be given to Pharmacy department, in order to reduce the workload of the Medical Orderlies of Left and Right Wing. This will reduce a lot of time in Discharge Delay.
- ii. This recommendation will also solve the issue of Discharge delay due to the Delay in sign and stamp by the doctor, as he/she can get the discharge

Summary signed from the consultants in OPD areas only, because GMCHRC is a **horizontal** set up.

D. Benchmark

Target oriented approach should be followed and for that new internal benchmarks should be set, separately for both Insurance and Self-Payment in-patients. The benchmarks must be revised annually.

- Self- Payment In-patient Cases- 4 hours
- Insurance In-patient Cases- 5 hours

8. Appendix

Appendix 1 Table 1 Discharge Patient-Tracking Sheet

Discharge Process Tracking Sheet															
S. No.	Date	Hospital ID.	Dept / Speciality	Admission Type- Elective/ Emergency (In case of OBG Patients - Booked / Un-Booked)	Self-payment / Insurance	Admission Date & time	Date & time at which the doctor gave the order for discharge	Time at which the Discharge Summary was typed by Medical Secretary	Discharge Summary signed and stamped by Specialist	Time at which medication dispensed	Time at which discharge was initiated by the Nurse in the HIMS (Discharge Initiated.)	Time at which clearance was obtained from PAD.	Time at which the patient left the room (Discharge authorization)	Bed ready time	Remarks
1															
2															
3															
4															

Appendix 2 Table 2– Average no. of Admissions & Discharge from 12th-29th April Graph 6

12th-29th April

Time Intervals	Admission	Discharge
09:01-12:00	7	1
12:01-15:00	6	16
1501-18:00	6	6
18:01-21:00	7	8
21:01-24:00	5	13
24:01-03:00	6	0
03:01-06:00	3	0
06:01-09:00	6	0

Appendix 3- Table 3- Percentage of Admissions and Discharge 12th – 29th April 2015

for Graph 7

Percentage 12th-29th April		
Time Intervals	Admission %	Discharge %
09:01-12:00	15	2
12:01-15:00	14	36
1501-18:00	13	13
18:01-21:00	15	19
21:01-24:00	11	29
24:01-03:00	13	0
03:01-06:00	6	0
06:01-09:00	14	0

9. Bibliography

1. Karen Bryan (<http://bmb.oxfordjournals.org/content/95/1/33.full#>)
2. Muhammad Umair Majeed^{1*}, Dean Thomas Williams¹², Rachel Pollock¹, Farhat Amir¹, Martin Liam¹, Keen S Foong¹ and Chris J Whitaker²
(<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/12/410/#ins2>)
3. Jeffrey L. Greenwald, MD,* Charles R. Denham, MD,.f. a n d Brian W. Jack, MD#
(<https://www.bu.edu/fammed/projectred/publications/greenwald.pdf>)
4. Rhonda Renee Archie, MBA, MT (ASCP)^{1,2} and Suzanne Austin Boren, PhD, MHA^{1,2,3}
(<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Archie%20RR%5Bauth%5D>)
5. Christopher G. Maloney, MD PhD,¹ Douglas Wolfe, MBA,² Per H. Gesteland, MD MS,¹ Joe W. Hales, PhD,^{1,2} and Flory L. Nkoy, MD MS MPH¹
(<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Maloney%20CG%5Bauth%5D>)
6. Journal of Hospital Medicine 2011, Kathleen M. Finn, MD, MPhil^{1*}, Rebecca Heffner, MD², Yuchiao Chang, PhD¹, Hasan Bazari, MD¹, Daniel Hunt, MD¹, Karen Pickell, MSN, ANP-BC¹, Rhodes Berube, MPH¹, Shveta Raju, MD, MBA¹
(<http://news.medicine.duke.edu/wpcontent/uploads/2012/01/Discharge-Facilitator-JHM.pdf>)
7. (Durvasula, Raghu MD, MHA; Kayihan, Arkan MS, MBA; Del Bene, Sherri RN; Granich, Marion RN; Parker, Grace RN; Anawalt, Bradley D. MD; Staiger, Thomas MD)
8. <http://www.ihl.org/resources/Pages/Changes/ScheduletheDischarge.aspx>
9. BMJ Quality Improvement Programme
(<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/12/180>)

10. [http://www.iienet.org/uploadedfiles/SHSNew/Tools and Resources/Feature%201%20student%20paper.pdf](http://www.iienet.org/uploadedfiles/SHSNew/Tools_and_Resources/Feature%201%20student%20paper.pdf)
11. ([http://www.himaa.org.au/members/journal/HIMJ 36 2 2007/Ajami%20&%20Ketabi%20Discharge%20waiting%20time%20Kashani%20Hosp%20Iran.pdf](http://www.himaa.org.au/members/journal/HIMJ_36_2_2007/Ajami%20&%20Ketabi%20Discharge%20waiting%20time%20Kashani%20Hosp%20Iran.pdf))