



**History and Description**

Mathura Das Mathur ( MDM) Hospital, Jodhpur largest hospital in the western region. Attached with the government medical collage SN Medical collage at Jodhpur. Inaugurated in 1979 with capacity of 200 beds, which currently at 5154 beds. SN Medical collage controls budget which headed by a superintendent, who is responsible for the health care services. Currently, 7 Super Specialty department and 12 other department are available. Hospital provide administrative services like, IHMS portal for patient registration and record-keeping and E-Aushadhi system which is an online system capable of having detailed information about availability of drugs . Provide free diagnosis, treatment, and medicine distribution and with various health schemes also like MAA yojana, RGHS, etc.

**Mission:**

Provide personalized healthcare that focuses on patient happiness and well-being through optimal, evidence-based medical interventions. To improve patient outcomes through investments in medical technologies. To encourage health education and wellness through active engagement in community outreach and public health programs.

**Vision:**

To provide comprehensive healthcare services accessible to all. To become a model and global leader in healthcare, and a research center on health.



# EVALUATION OF PATIENT SATISFACTION LEVELS AFTER DISCHARGE AT MDM HOSPITAL, JODHPUR.

## About Project

This project involved a comprehensive analysis of the patient discharge satisfaction in a multispecialty hospital. This study also comprises the discharge procedure across various departments. Detailed data were collected and analyzed for 120 patients to identify the satisfaction regarding the discharge process. Also, analyzed claims data under MAA Yojana from April to May 2025.

### OBJECTIVE

- To measure patient satisfaction level in order to identify strength, gaps, and suggestion to improve hospital operations and patient care. And also, to assess the overall experience of patient by evaluating their satisfaction with the quality of health care services provided. (ex: treatment, environment, staff behavior, follow-up support, and discharge process). To improve healthcare services, it is necessary to maintain a mechanism for getting feedback from patients regarding satisfaction and suggestions.
- Patient Satisfaction = Clinical Quality + Services Quality
- Satisfaction is an indicator of how well the patient is being treated at a medical practice.
- It gives healthcare providers valuable insights into various aspects of healthcare, including the effectiveness of their care and their level of understanding.

## Analysis

Table 1: Shows The Percentage Value of Patient Satisfaction (n=1 20).

| CHARACTERISTICS       | CATEGORY        | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| DOCTORS REVIEW        | Fully Satisfied | 120       | 100%       |
|                       | Total           | 120       | 100%       |
| WASHROOMS SERVICES    |                 |           |            |
|                       | Satisfied       | 12        | 10%        |
|                       | Not Satisfied   | 75        | 62.5%      |
|                       | Average         | 33        | 27.5%      |
| NURSING SERVICES      |                 |           |            |
|                       | Fully Satisfied | 37        | 30.8%      |
|                       | Satisfied       | 60        | 50.0%      |
|                       | Average         | 23        | 19.2%      |
| FRONT DESK SUPPORT    |                 |           |            |
|                       | Fully Satisfied | 8         | 6.6%       |
|                       | Satisfied       | 74        | 61.7%      |
|                       | Not Satisfied   | 5         | 4.2%       |
| PHARMACY SERVICES     |                 |           |            |
|                       | Fully Satisfied | 6         | 5%         |
|                       | Satisfied       | 68        | 56.7%      |
|                       | Not Satisfied   | 35        | 29.1%      |
| HOUSEKEEPING SERVICES |                 |           |            |
|                       | Fully Satisfied | 3         | 2.5%       |
|                       | Satisfied       | 71        | 59.2%      |
|                       | Not Satisfied   | 10        | 8.3%       |
|                       | Average         | 36        | 30%        |
|                       | Total           | 120       | 100%       |

Table 2 : Shows The MAA Yojana Approval And Rejected Data

| MAA Yojana (MUKHYAMANTRI AYUSHMAN AROGYA YOJANA) |            |     |                        |       |          |      |          |     |            |     |            |     |  |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------|-----|------------------------|-------|----------|------|----------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--|
| Speciality                                       | Discharged |     | Claims Amount (in Lac) |       |          |      |          |     | Approved % |     | Rejected % |     |  |
|                                                  |            |     | Submitted              |       | Approved |      | Rejected |     |            |     |            |     |  |
|                                                  | April      | May | April                  | May   | April    | May  | April    | May | April      | May | April      | May |  |
| Cardiology                                       | 283        | 303 | 99.7                   | 110.2 | 97.5     | 89.6 | 2.3      | 7.4 | 98         | 81  | 2          | 2   |  |
| ENT                                              | 142        | 161 | 13.2                   | 14.6  | 12       | 9.1  | 0.8      | 1.8 | 91         | 63  | 6          | 7   |  |
| Gastroenterology                                 | 153        | 172 | 17.9                   | 19.1  | 15.5     | 13.7 | 2.5      | 2.3 | 86         | 71  | 14         | 13  |  |
| General Medicine                                 | 885        | 841 | 87.5                   | 79.9  | 62.1     | 48.1 | 24.5     | 6.7 | 71         | 60  | 28         | 18  |  |
| Hematology                                       | 292        | 396 | 35.5                   | 42.7  | 29.6     | 34.6 | 3.1      | 3.4 | 83         | 81  | 9          | 4   |  |
| Neurology                                        | 179        | 167 | 22.2                   | 16.2  | 12.7     | 9.6  | 4        | 2   | 57         | 59  | 18         | 13  |  |
| Obs- gynae                                       | 323        | 416 | 32.8                   | 42.4  | 28       | 27.5 | 4.8      | 2.2 | 85         | 65  | 14         | 8   |  |
| Urology                                          | 157        | 183 | 21.5                   | 28.7  | 16.6     | 18.8 | 4.2      | 3.6 | 77         | 65  | 19         | 6   |  |



**PRESENTED BY**  
**ANJALI THANVI**  
**BATCH HM 29**  
**ROLL NO 1906**

**MENTORS:**  
**ARINDAM DAS**  
**(PROF. AT IIHMR UNIVERSITY, JAIPUR**  
**DR. VIKAS RAJ PUROHIT**  
**(SUPERINTENDENT AT MDM HOSPITAL**

## Practical Exposure vs Theoretical Understanding

- Studied rules like color coded bins, segregation of infectious vs non infectious waste, and guidelines such as BMW (Management & Handling Rules. Where as in reality, observe how waste is actually handled on ground some times bins are missing, misused, shortage, etc.
- Learned how to communicate with patients through role play or lectures on communication skills. Whereas faced real patients with different behaviors, emotional needs, and sometimes aggressive behavior.
- In theoretical classes we learned about all equipment, and staff available as per the standard guidelines. Whereas in practical learning learned how to function with limited supplies, or shortage of staff.
- Learned about structure and format of patient files, discharge summaries through guidelines and manuals. Whereas experienced in updating real patient records, managing incomplete or missing documentation, and working on hospital software (IHMS).

## SWOT ANALYSIS

| STRENGTH                                                                                                                                                                                                           | WEAKNESSES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>High Quality of care</li><li>Experienced staff</li><li>Trust and reputation</li><li>Technology use- IHMS</li><li>Satisfied patient experience</li><li>Bed capacity</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Communication gaps</li><li>Overburdening of staff leading to decreased efficiency</li><li>Overlapping of roles and duties leading to confusion and delayed progress</li><li>Lack of accountability because of lack of role clarity</li></ul> |
| OPPORTUNITES                                                                                                                                                                                                       | THREATS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Opportunity for making it NABH/NQAS compliant</li><li>Can be made KAYAKALP guidelines compliant</li><li>Can be made LAKSHYA guidelines compliant</li></ul>                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Lack of quality compliance because of higher footfall</li><li>Fire safety vulnerability</li><li>Poor patient feedback because of complex patient flow.</li></ul>                                                                             |

## Challenges

Facing challenge to reduce long waiting time due to large footfall daily in OPD, leading to long waiting time  
Multiple approval need for simple tasks.  
Saff are overburdened, leading to delays in service delivery.  
Many patients are confused about where to go for services, especially in OPD departments.  
Improper segregation of waste because of shortage in color plastic bag.  
Patients are often sent from one unit to another without clear instructions or documentation.

## Major Learning

- How hospital administration work in real scenarios.
- Concepts like queue management, waste segregation and patient satiation in daily takes.
- Gained insight how government hospital function.
- Learned about schemes like MMA Yojana, RGHS.
- Worked on digital platforms IHMS portal.
- Learned how to manage with limited resources
- Developed practical solution for problems like overcrowding.
- Observed processes like admission, discharge, patent record, and infection control.